Agenda Items

1. Introduction
2. Report from Council (Heather & Paul)
3. Intersessional (L.A.) Takeaways Report Update (Brian W.)
4. ICANN61 (San Juan) Planning
   a. Questions for the CEO/Board – other CSG EXCOMM Planning Updates (Vicky S.)
   b. IPC planning Team Update (Brian S.)
      i. Speakers for IPC Open/Closed Sessions
   c. INTA/IPC Reception (Brian W./Lori S.)
5. Open Public Comments and Other Requests for Input/Volunteers (Brian S.)
   a. Open and Recently Closed Public Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Comment</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximal Starting Repertoire version 3 (MSR-3) for Root Zone Label Generation Rules (RZ-LGR)</td>
<td>2/26/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICANN Draft FY19 Operating Plan and Budget and Five-Year Operating Plan Update</td>
<td>3/8/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal for Korean Root Zone Label Generation Rules</td>
<td>3/17/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan to Restart the Root Key Signing Key (KSK) Rollover Process</td>
<td>4/2/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Other Requests for input
   i. ICANN 61 Planning (discussed above)
   ii. IPC Comments re: eco GDPR Playbook (Dean Marks)
   iii. IPC Letter re: Ways of Working and Process Improvements in RPM PDP (J. McElwaine)
   iv. IPC Letter to Board re: Geographic Terms Overarching Principles (POSTPONED)
6. Policy Matters
   a. GDPR/RDS Update
      i. GDPR Event and Follow Up (Brian W.)
   b. RPM Review (Susan)
      i. Update on URS Discussions
      ii. Impact of GDPR on URS/UDRP (Paul M.)
   c. Subsequent Procedures (Open – anyone with urgent updates)
   d. Other PDP Working Groups – Urgent Matters Only (all other matters and updates to list)
7. AOB
8. Adjourn
1. **Introduction**  
   Brian Winterfeldt welcomed IPC members to the meeting. Brian reminded members of the new format, trying to consolidate the membership and the policy call into one call, while encouraging more detailed discussions in smaller groups. IPC Member feedback on this approach is welcomed.

2. **Report from Council (Heather Forrest & Paul McGrady)**  
   Heather Forrest referred to the GNSO Council Report that her and Paul circulated prior to the call and encouraged any questions from the group.

   There were no items on the consent agenda and only one item would be voted on, which was Item 4, the “Adoption of the Charter related to the next steps for the ICANN Procedure of Handling WHOIS conflicts with Privacy Law”. The item was actually postponed from the January agenda to February because there was some discussion as to how the actual drafting of the motion might take into account GDPR and developments related to GDPR. Several IPC Members have helped draft language for the charter.

   Heather noted that the next Council meeting will be held on Thursday, 22 February 2018. During this meeting, the Cross-Community Working Group (CCWG) on Internet Governance and its new potential form will be discussed, as well as the ICANN Budget. Heather thanked John McElwaine for his efforts in participating in the budget discussion.

   Paul highlighted that he will be put into the liaison role for Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) working group.

3. **Intersessional Takeaways Report Update**  
   Brian reported on the Intersessional, noting that the IPC was represented by himself, Malancharuvil, Lori Schulman, Vicky Sheckler, Heather Forrest, Paul McGrady, John McElwaine. Lori was unable to attend due to unforeseen circumstances and Dean Marks was able to participate in her place.

   General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) was an important topic discussed during the Intersessional, which will be presented in further detail in the IPC report. Vicky Sheckler noted that the ICANN CEO, Goñan Marby, mentioned that he planned on selecting an interim model for Whois to deal with GDPR after his meeting with the Article 29 Working Group. Meanwhile, the EC has submitted a letter asking him to delay longer. An interim-model is still to be selected, and it is unclear when this will happen. The IPC may decide to write a letter supporting the EU’s recommendations in the future.

   A secondary focus at the Intersessional meeting was the inevitability of a gated or restricted access model and the next steps that the community will be asked to assist with. The IPC should start thinking what credentialing and accreditation models the Constituency should support and what would allow for continued access to accurate Whois data. ICANN senior staff and the Board members have all noted that any model for credentialing and accreditation would have to be easy and quickly implemented given the timeframe.
4. **ICANN61 (San Juan) Planning**
Kiran updated the group on initial ICANN61 planning, noting the planning call to be held tomorrow (14 February) and encouraged members to join. Susan Payne, Damon Ashcroft, Brian Winterfeldt, Brian Scarpelli, Greg Shatan, and Kiran Malancharuvil are on the planning team thus far.

Vicky Sheckler provided an overview of the CSG meetings, noting that the ISPCP is leading for ICANN61.

Vicky also discussed the IPC’s questions to Göran, noting that they would not be discussing RPMs at this time. Vicky encouraged any additional questions to be sent to Vicky and Brian Scarpelli.

5. **Open Public Comments and Other Requests for Input/Volunteers**
Kiran Malancharuvil, IPC Secretary, provided an update on behalf of Brian Scarpelli, the IPC Participation Coordinator.

John McElwaine updated the IPC regarding the letter on to the three RPM co-chairs regarding how to improve work within the PDP. Suggestions included breaking the work into smaller groups and reviewing best practices for policy making in working group.

IPC Letter to the Board regarding Geographic Terms is postponed, awaiting the GAC advice for ICANN61.

6. **Policy Matters**
- **GDPR** - The IPC partnered with the BC to host an event on January 24 to discuss the various GDPR models and issues that the community is currently confronting. Göran Marby and ICANN General Counsel, John Jeffery, attended the event remotely and spoke to participants about the GDPR compliance models that ICANN had submitted for public comment.

Following the January 24th event, the IPC submitted comments to ICANN on the substance of the models as well as the official request to extend the public comment period to be in line with the standard ICANN forty-day comment period. ICANN denied the IPC’s request for an extension of the comment period, but they did extend their own time for consideration of the various models and for releasing a preferred model.

As a follow-up, IPC leadership is working with the CSG and Non-Contracted Party House leadership to identify appropriate next steps in putting together joint discussions with the Contracted Party House on all of the proposed GDPR compliance models. Leadership will be providing updates shortly and is currently collecting suggestions and ideas.

- **RPM Update** - Susan Payne discussed the RPM discussion groups work, noting that they are currently focused on the URS. The Working Group is looking to identify what
data requirements currently exist and to what extent should individual cases be opened up.

7. **AOB**  
   There being no further items to discuss, Brian adjourned the call at 14:00 UTC.

8. **ATTENDANCE:** Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC), Bradley Silver, Brian J Winterfeldt, Charles Shaban, Chris Casavale, Claudio DiGangi, Colin O’Brien, Dean Marks, Elisabeth Piper, Flip Petillion, Greg Shatan, Griffin Barnett, Heather Forrest, Jeff Molinoff, Jeff Neuman, John McElwaine, Kiran Malancharuvil, Lori Schulman, Luca Barbero, Lynne Boisineau, Michael Flemming, Robin King, Statton Hammock, Steve Metalitz, Susan Payne, Tommaso La Scala, Vicky Sheckler | **Audio Only:** Jose Landivar, Paul McGrady | **Apologies:** Brian Scarpelli, Marina Lewis, Petter Rindforth | **Staff:** Chantelle Doerksen

9. **Adjourn**