

Intellectual Property Constituency
August 12, 2014, 11:30 EDT

I. IANA Stewardship Transition

Update on Coordination Group

Wolf is the representative to the coordination group, supported by a kitchen cabinet of representatives from each constituency (Greg Shatan from IPC). Looking for an additional volunteer to help Greg. None heard during the call.

Greg gave a brief report: The ICG is heading toward next face to face meeting in September. (9/9 in Istanbul.) The major issue at the moment is approval of the charter, which is out for comment now. Greg will circulate link. Comments open until Friday. [Pasted below]

On July 18, the IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group (ICG) produced a [draft charter](#) [PDF, 43.7 KB] that defines its own tasks. The ICG views defining the broad outlines of its charter as important, but it views finalizing the charter and moving on to the real work of the transition planning to be of equal importance. Thus the ICG is opening up a short public comment period on the charter to determine if there are any major objections to the current draft that the ICG has not already taken into account.

The charter is open for public comment until August 15, 2014 at 23:59 UTC. Public comments are considered to be for the public record, and for the information and consideration of all participants in this process, not just for the ICG. Everyone is encouraged to review any public comments that may be submitted via the process below.

Public comment submission process links:

Comment submission: icg-forum@icann.org

List of comments submitted via this site: <http://forum.icann.org/lists/icg-forum>

Charter: <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/draft-charter-coordination-group-17jun14-en.pdf> [PDF, 43.7 KB]

Deadline: August 15, 2014 at 23:59 UTC

ALAC has put forth an “alternative” charter, rather than propose edits to the proposed charter, including a proposal of one Chair and four Vice Chairs (one from each geographic region). In short, ICG has been mostly consumed with process thus far.

II. ICANN Accountability

Update on draft ICANN Proposal – About a week ago, Teresa Swinehart from ICANN sent around a chart of a draft proposal, embodying the creation of a community

assembly I, including members from all the constituencies. Identify issues to be dealt with in the accountability and governance discussion. Each SO/AC would have a certain number of participants... with the possibility for observers. Kristina reviewed the precise allocation of participants for a proposed coordination group. During the call with Fadi a week ago, in which Fadi was trying to rally support, there were numerous questions and concerns expressed, about the idea, including the limits on participation, how the proposal could be based on public comment when the summary of public comments hadn't been released, that the entire GNSO would be represented by one person, that ICANN would engage as a participant rather than in a supporting role and other issues. RySG has created its own summary of comments. RySG sent a draft statement, which BC has signed onto. There was discussion about IPC signing on to the statement. Steve Metalitz said he would forward the RySG's summary of comments to the list. Greg Shatan supported signing on to the comment for the sake of stakeholder group unity.

Jonathan Zuck also supported signing onto the comment.

Carl Schonander reported that SIIA is also member of BC, and was asked for their views and SIIA supported, and supports the IPC likewise supporting.

III. ICANN Representative requests

Nominating Committee representative – John McElwaine is term-limited and we need someone to participate. This is a critical role, in part ensuring that people nominated to the board have corporate board experience, and are not tied to particular ICANN vested interests. In response to a request from Adam Scoville, Kristina clarified that the maximum number of terms is two one-year terms, and the NomCom goes on the rule that serving any part of a term, a member is deemed to have served that term.

Leadership Training Program – Heather Forrest previously participated and would be willing to provide a brief summary. There may be IPC travel support for the position. During the previous program, a non-IP expert gave a presentation on IP issues in the DNS that mis-stated several principles. Steve Metalitz also raised a point about perhaps also (or at a minimum instead) providing someone that could give a presentation during the program on IP issues.

PPSAI WG meeting – This group works on privacy/proxy accreditation issues. There is some travel support (hotel, not airfare). There will be a professional facilitator and a community member “shadowing” the facilitator. Please get in touch with Steve if interested.

IV. IPC Business

New members – Kristina wanted to welcome new members and mention that you may see some new names on the list.

Dues – IPC Treasurer Michael Adams reported that about 60% of membership have paid dues, which were requested by the end of July. In a handful of cases, people paying by wire saw a small amount deducted, which resulted in a shortage in their dues. The cost of forwarding payment should be borne by the sender. After a certain date, if payment has not been made, delinquent members will not be in good standing and will not be eligible to be on the list or participate in closed meetings.

Elections – Elections are coming up. IPC leadership is determining how to proceed in light of the vacancy currently in the Secretary position. All officer positions are up for election with the exception of the GNSO Council seat that Brian Winterfeldt currently holds.

Greg Shatan also spoke as the IPC's new Participation Coordinator, and is looking to chart people's current and historic participation, as well as to develop standing committees that will be on call for responding to particular issues.

V. LA Meeting planning

Meeting team – Kristina commended the work of the meeting coordination team for the London meeting and is hoping we can have a meeting team to fulfill that role in LA. Marc Trachtenberg volunteered. Don Moody volunteered the services of his company's meetings coordinator to help.

Discussion topics – Markus Kummer will be invited to attend. Kristina solicited ideas for discussion topics, and then was going to further solicit ideas on list.

IPC event – There was no clear consensus whether to have an event or not, and if so whether business or social. Kristina opened up the floor for comments.

Jonathan Zuck commented that because of the creative communities proximate to LA, it might be a good opportunity to have a higher profile for the IPC.

Steve Metalitz commented that the Gala will be at Fox studios, and there would be some input into the plenary session. MPAA is engaged (not strictly IPC, of course). Steve commented that we are somewhat assuming that there will be a big IPC turnout, but noted that it is not too early to start gathering a list of who will be attending and rallying IP owners to attend.

Kristina noted that we have support from ICANN to print a brochure about the IPC. But we must provide the content (and ICANN will pay for the printing).

Travel support – IPC will be offering travel support. Michael Adams previously solicited expressions of interest on the list.

VI. GNSO Council Update

Brian W. circulated an update concerning the last meeting to the list.

VII. New gTLD Review Planning

Steve Metalitz commented that although the new gTLD rollout is still ongoing, we are at the point in the Affirmation of Commitments in which ICANN is obligated to start a review of the new gTLD program. It will start in October or December 2014 (depending on if one counts from delegation or general availability of the first new TLD). We are well situated, if we want ICANN to draw some lessons or make some changes, to participate and offer input. We need to start planning to participate.

Susan Payne said she was under the impression that a review of the RPMs was to start 18 months after launch. Steve responded that there are two different reviews. This is one stems from the AoC. Susan further commented that there is a GNSO "discussion group" set up with little IPC participation so far.

Jonathan Zuck commented that he is happy to start to convene a subcommittee to look at the issue.

Kristina suggested to Greg Shatan (as participation coordinator) that this be flagged as one of the committees we need, either as a standing committee or ad hoc.

VIII. AOB

GNSO Review 360 degree assessment – is online, up and running. Steve commented that there are webinars on the survey today and tomorrow. Steve said he would request the list of questions to circulate.

Name collision – Kristina reminded us of the joint statement calling ICANN to reject the staff proposal. Kristina got information from ICANN that they have put some information in the registry name collision FAQ. ICANN will open a public comment on the topic. There were webinars yesterday on the topic. Kristina suggested someone follow up with ICANN to make sure a *meaningful* consultation occurs. Griffin Barnett commented that he was on the webinar and that the topic of the sunrise-like treatment of name collision list names did come up briefly in a discussion about RPMs.

Adam Scoville mentioned that INTA's "Internet, Innovation & ICANN: The Evolving Landscape of the Net" conference is coming up in San Francisco, which he and Nick Wood are co-chairing, with several IPC members in speaking roles. Notwithstanding the September 18-19 date, fireworks are forecast for several panels, including ones on lessons learned from the first round with Kurt Pritz, Internet Governance with Suzanne Radell of US NTIA, geographic names, and a Milton v. Metalitz bout on Whois.

Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned.