

IPC Monthly Membership call - April
Minutes
(April 8, 2014)

I. ICANN Singapore Meeting Recap

A. IPC meeting:

1. Received briefing from several staff members, including Compliance and GDD, Whois Data Accuracy, Closed portion: IANA transition

B. GNSO Council meeting

1. Had face-face meeting in Singapore
 - a. all 5 motions passed unanimously
 - b. discussion on IANA transition
 - c. discussion on data retention requirements under new RAA
 - i. Issue: any conflict with EU data protection laws?
 - ii. One France-based registrar has already obtained a waiver
 1. IPC commented (not in opposition) seeking confirmation
 2. Second request pending for a Belgium registrar, comments are due by 21 April
 3. ECJ decision on data retention

C. GAC

1. Somewhat contentious GAC meeting in Singapore; process issues led to uncertainty with regards to GAC Communiqué development in relation with discussion with NGPC; and .wine and .vin new gTLD applications
2. GAC project team working on revisions for "future DAG"
 - a. Effort to revise section dealing with TLDs that may have geographic meaning
 - i. Public GAC session on this topic in Singapore was canceled so GAC communiqué could be developed in light of issues above.
 - b. Will likely reappear on GAC agenda in London, but may be pushed off if there is a high-level GAC meeting.
 - c. DRAFT position paper on geographic names would likely make it difficult for many brands to apply (comment by Susan Payne)
 - d. Names of national interest would also be prohibited (comment by Marc Trachtenberg)

D. Proposed Spec. 13 for New gTLD Registry Agreement

1. BRG directly negotiated with Board; NGCP approved an amended version of Spec 13, sent to GNSO Council for input on issue regarding use of exclusive registrars.
 - a. Brian/Petter: Special GNSO Council meeting planned; only agenda items is discussion on Spec 13
 - i. Options for Council include: approve, disapprove, or say nothing and the Board will adopt the provision on use of exclusive registrars
 - ii. Council members believe GNSO Council should respond to Board

- iii. Greg Shatan: Single registrant TLD do not require multiple registrars > create security issues, doesn't make sense because TLD knows it will only be
- iv. Brian Beckham: previously send some comments around to list
 1. Agrees with security benefits
 2. Marc T. Security issue within the namespace
- v. Up for discussion – deadline is May 12; GNSO councilors will send summary to the list
- vi. Policy and implementation issue: there is no framework currently so Council is making it up as it goes along; issue about how council responded on IGN/NGO issue

E. Other issues

II. Update - ICC Basis Briefing

- IPC seeking to get briefing on NetMudial meeting
- Dates/times 10:30-12:30pm ET next meet – call is being planned

III. VeriSign Webinar - follow up

- Slides on VeriSign proposal for IDN translations of .COM were sent to list
- Dates for webinar

IV. Upcoming GNSO Council meeting

- See main points above

V. Potential IPC public statement on NTIA/IANA transition

- Draft position sent to the list
- Steve Metalitz raised a suggestion that sooner would be better than later, and perhaps the Friday before NetMudial could be a target date?

VI. Membership Updates

- All were endorsed, one membership application requires IPC to obtain more information

VII. Volunteers needed - membership, outreach research

- Requests that will go out to the list for task forces on these two issues.

VIII. Possible meeting during INTA Annual Meeting

IX. AOB

- Discussion of upcoming GNSO Review and IPC preparation
- Motion deadline for GNSO Council is 28 April
- Wikileaks leaked outcome document from NetMudial