I. ICANN Singapore Meeting Recap

A. IPC meeting:

1. Received briefing from several staff members, including Compliance and GDD, Whois Data Accuracy, Closed portion: IANA transition

B. GNSO Council meeting

1. Had face-face meeting in Singapore
   a. all 5 motions passed unanimously
   b. discussion on IANA transition
   c. discussion on data retention requirements under new RAA
      i. Issue: any conflict with EU data protection laws?
      ii. One France-based registrar has already obtained a waiver
          1. IPC commented (not in opposition) seeking confirmation
          2. Second request pending for a Belgium registrar, comments are due by 21 April
          3. ECJ decision on data retention

C. GAC

1. Somewhat contentious GAC meeting in Singapore; process issues led to uncertainty with regards to GAC Communiqué development in relation with discussion with NGPC; and .wine and .vin new gTLD applications
   2. GAC project team working on revisions for “future DAG”
      a. Effort to revise section dealing with TLDs that may have geographic meaning
         i. Public GAC session on this topic in Singapore was canceled so GAC communiqué could be developed in light of issues above.
      b. Will likely reappear on GAC agenda in London, but may be pushed off if there is a high-level GAC meeting.
      c. DRAFT position paper on geographic names would likely make it difficult for many brands to apply (comment by Susan Payne)
      d. Names of national interest would also be prohibited (comment by Marc Trachtenberg)

D. Proposed Spec. 13 for New gTLD Registry Agreement

1. BRG directly negotiated with Board; NGCP approved an amended version of Spec 13, sent to GNSO Council for input on issue regarding use of exclusive registrars.
   a. Brian/Petter: Special GNSO Council meeting planned; only agenda items is discussion on Spec 13
      i. Options for Council include: approve, disapprove, or say nothing and the Board will adopt the provision on use of exclusive registrars
      ii. Council members believe GNSO Council should respond to Board
iii. Greg Shatan: Single registrant TLD do not require multiple registrars > create security issues, doesn’t make sense because TLD knows it will only be
iv. Brian Beckham: previously send some comments around to list
   1. Agrees with security benefits
   2. Marc T. Security issue within the namespace
v. Up for discussion – deadline is May 12; GNSO councilors will send summary to the list
vi. Policy and implementation issue: there is no framework currently so Council is making it up as it goes along; issue about how council responded on IGN/NGO issue

E. Other issues

II. Update - ICC Basis Briefing
   • IPC seeking to get briefing on NetMudial meeting
   • Dates/times 10:30-12:30pm ET next meet – call is being planned

III. VeriSign Webinar - follow up
   • Slides on VeriSign proposal for IDN translations of .COM were sent to list
   • Dates for webinar

IV. Upcoming GNSO Council meeting
   • See main points above

V. Potential IPC public statement on NTIA/IANA transition
   • Draft position sent to the list
   • Steve Metalitz raised a suggestion that sooner would be better than later, and perhaps the Friday before NetMudial could be a target date?

VI. Membership Updates
   • All were endorsed, one membership application requires IPC to obtain more information

VII. Volunteers needed - membership, outreach research
   • Requests that will go out to the list for task forces on these two issues.

VIII. Possible meeting during INTA Annual Meeting

IX. AOB
   • Discussion of upcoming GNSO Review and IPC preparation
   • Motion deadline for GNSO Council is 28 April
   • Wikileaks leaked outcome document from NetMudial